



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,152	02/27/2002	Tomio Endo	00184D/LH	3403
1933 7	590 09/30/2003			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			EXAMINER	
767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			NGUYEN, THONG Q	
NEW YORK, I	NY 10017-2023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ •	_		and the second s	40 /			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/086,152	ENDO ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thong Q. Nguyen	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess			
A SH THE - External afternal	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this common BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	February 2002					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		natters, prosecution as to the r	merits is			
• —	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 8-14 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		_				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
40) 🗆 :	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	age			
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).			
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachmen	•	. ,					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The present Office action is made in response to the Pre-Amendment (Paper No.

5) of 2/27/2002. It is noted that in the Pre-Amendment, applicant has canceled claims

1-7. The remaining claims 8-14 are examine din this Office action.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species (I) directs to a confocal microscope having an objective lens, a rotatable mask, and a driving system for driving an image pickup device in synchronism with the variable operation of a mask and for modify the relative distance between an objective lens and a specimen. This species is readable in claims 8-12; and Species (II) directs to a confocal microscope having a plurality of objective lenses, a rotary member having a plurality of pattern sections corresponding to the plural objective lenses for obtaining confocal image data, and an aperture section for obtaining non-confocal image data, and a driving means for driving the rotary member. This species is readable in claims 13-14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is not any generic claim(s).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 2872

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Leonard Holtz on 9/26/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected 4. invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/086,152 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (703) 305-0024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Thoog Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872
